Amenability and Weak Amenability of the Semigroup Algebra $\ell^1(S_T)$
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Abstract

Let $S$ be a semigroup with a left multiplier $T$ on $S$. A new product on $S$ is defined by $T$ related to $S$ and $T$ such that $S$ and the new semigroup $S_T$ have the same underlying set as $S$. It is shown that if $T$ is injective then $\ell^1(S_T) = \ell^1(S_T)_{\tilde{T}}$ where, $\tilde{T}$ is the extension of $T$ on $\ell^1(S)$. Also, we show that if $T$ is bijective, then $\ell^1(S)$ is amenable if and only if $\ell^1(S_T)$ is so. Moreover, if $S$ completely regular, then $\ell^1(S_T)$ is weakly amenable.
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Introduction

Let $S$ be a semigroup and $T$ be a left multiplier on $S$. We present a general method of defining a new product on $S$ which makes $S$ a semigroup. Let $S_T$ denote $S$ with the new product. These two semigroups are sometimes different and we try to find conditions on $S$ and $T$ such that the semigroups $S$ and $S_T$ have the same properties. This idea has started by Birtel in [1] for Banach algebras and continued by Larsen in [11]. Recently, this notion developed by some authors, for more details see [1], [10], [11], [12] and [15]. One of the best result in this work expresses that $L^1(G)_{\tilde{T}}$ is Arens regular if and only if $G$ is a compact group [10]. We continue this direction on the regularity of $S$ and $S_T$ and the amenability of their semigroup algebras.

The term of semigroup will be a non-empty set $S$ endowed with an associative binary operation on $S$, defined by $(s, t) \rightarrow st$. If $S$ is also a Hausdorff topological space and the binary operation is jointly continuous, then $S$ is called a topological semigroup.
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Let \( p \in S \). Then \( p \) is an idempotent if \( p^2 = p \). The set of all idempotents of \( S \) is denoted by \( E(S) \).

An element \( e \) is a left (right) identity if \( es = s \) (resp. \( se = s \)) for all \( s \in S \). An element \( ecS \) is an identity if it is a left and a right identity. An element \( z \) is a left (resp. right) zero if \( zs = z \) (resp. \( sz = z \)) for all \( s \in S \). An element \( zcS \) is a zero if it is a left and a right zero. We denote any zero of \( S \) by \( 0_S \) (or \( z_S \)). An element \( pcS \) is a regular element of \( S \) if there exists \( tcS \) such that \( p = pt \) and \( p \) is completely regular if it is regular and \( pt = tp \). We say that \( p \in S \) has an inverse if there exists \( tcS \) such that \( p = pt \) and \( t = tpt \). Note that the inverse of element \( p \in S \) need not be unique. If \( pcS \) has an inverse, then \( p \) is regular and vice versa. Since, if \( p \in S \) is regular, there exists \( s \in S \) such that \( p = sps \). Let \( t = sps \). Then \( p = psp = (psp)sp = p(sps)p = ptp \) if \( T \) is regular and \( t = tpt \). We say that \( T : S \to S \) is called a left (resp. right) multiplier if \( T(st) = T(s)t \) (resp. \( T(st) = sT(t) \)) (s, tcS).

The map \( T : S \to S \) is a multiplier if it is a left and right multiplier. Let \( S \) be a topological semigroup. The net \( (e_\alpha) \subseteq S \) is a left (resp. right) approximate identity if \( \lim_\alpha e_\alpha t = t \) (resp. \( \lim_\alpha t e_\alpha = t \))(tcS). The net \( (e_\alpha) \subseteq S \) is an approximate identity if it is a left and a right approximate identity.

Let \( S \) be a discrete semigroup. We denote by \( \ell^1(S) \) the Banach space of all complex function \( f: S \to \mathbb{C} \) having the form

\[
f = \sum_{s \in S} f(s) \delta_s,
\]

such that \( \sum_{s \in S} |f(s)| = \|f\|_1 \) is finite, where \( \delta_s \) is the point mass at \( \{s\} \). For \( f, g \in \ell^1(S) \) we define the convolution product on \( \ell^1(S) \) as follow:

\[
f * g(s) = \sum_{t_1, t_2 = s} f(t_1)g(t_2) \quad (scS),
\]

with this product \( \ell^1(S) \) becomes a Banach algebra and is called the semigroup algebra on \( S \).

Remark 1.1. If \( f \in \ell^1(S) \) then \( f = 0 \) on \( S \) except at most on a countable subset of \( S \). In other words, the set \( A = \{scS : \ f(s) \neq 0\} \) is at most countable. Since, if \( A_n = \{scS : \ |f(s)| \geq \frac{1}{n}\} \), \( A = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n \). Set \( \|f\|_1 = M \) and \( m \in \mathbb{N} \) is fixed. Then we have

\[
M = \sum_{s \in S} |f(s)| \geq \sum_{s \in A_n} |f(s)| \geq \sum_{s \in A_n} \frac{1}{n} = \frac{1}{n} |A_n|.
\]
where \(|A_n|\) is the cardinality of \(A_n\). So \(|A_n| \leq nM\). Hence \(A_n\) is a finite subset of \(S\) and thus \(A\) is at most countable.

**Semigroup \(S_T\)**

Let \(T \in \text{Mul}_1(S)\). Then we define a new binary operation "\(\circ\)" on \(S\) as follow:

\[
s \circ t = s T(t) \quad (s, t \in S).
\]

The set \(S\) equiped with the new operation "\(\circ\)" is denoted by \(S_T\) and sometimes called "induced semigroup of \(S\)."

Now we have the following results.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let \(S\) be a Semigroup. Then (i) if \(T \in \text{Mul}_1(S)\) then \(S_T\) is a semigroup.

The converse is true if \(S\) is left cancellative and \(T\) is surjective.

(ii) If \(S_T\) is left cancellative and \(T\) is surjective, then \(T^{-1} \in \text{Mul}_1(S)\).

(iii) If \(S\) is a topological semigroup and \(S_T\) has a left approximate identity then \(T^{-1} \in \text{Mul}_1(S)\).

**Proof.**

i) Let \(T \in \text{Mul}_1(S)\) and take \(r, s, t \in S\). Then

\[
r \circ (s \circ t) = r T(s \circ t) = r T(s T(t)) = r T(s) T(t) = (r T(s)) T(t) = (r \circ s) \circ t.
\]

So, \(S_T\) is a semigroup.

Conversely, suppose that \(S\) is left cancellative and take \(r, s, t \in S\). Since \(T\) is surjective, there exists \(u \in S\) such that \(T(u) = t\). Then

\[
r T(st) = r T(s T(u)) = r \circ (s \circ u) = (r \circ s) \circ u = (r T(s)) T(u) = r T(st).
\]

By the left cancellativity of \(S\), we have \(T(st) = T(s) T(t) \quad (r, s \in S)\). So, \(T\) is a left multiplier.

ii) We must prove that \(T\) is injective. To do this end, take \(r, s, u \in S\) and let \(T(r) = T(s)\).

Then \(u \circ r = u T(r) = u T(s) = u \circ s\). So \(r = s\), since \(S_T\) is left cancellative. Hence \(T^{-1}\) exists.

Now, we show that \(T^{-1} \in \text{Mul}_1(S)\). Take \(r, s \in S\). Then

\[
T^{-1}(rs) = T^{-1}[T T^{-1}(r)s] = T^{-1}[T (T^{-1}(r)s)] = (T^{-1} T)[T^{-1}(r)s] = T^{-1}(rs).
\]

iii) It is enough to show that \(T\) is injective. Take \(r, s \in S\) and suppose that \(T(r) = T(s)\).

Then

\[
r = \lim_\alpha e_\alpha \circ r = \lim_\alpha e_\alpha T(r) = \lim_\alpha e_\alpha T(s) = \lim_\alpha e_\alpha \circ s = s.
\]
There are many properties that induced from $S$ to semigroup $S_T$. But sometimes they are different.

**Theorem 2.2.** Let $S$ be a Hausdorff topological semigroup and $\text{TeMul}_1(S)$. If $S$ is commutative then so is $S_T$. The converse is true if $\overline{T(S)} = S$.

**Proof.** Suppose $S$ is commutative and take $r, s \in S$. Then

$$r \circ s = r \cdot T(s) = T(s)r = T(sr) = T(rs) = T(r) = s \cdot T(r) = s \circ r.$$ 

So, $S_T$ is commutative.

Conversely, Let $S_T$ be commutative and take $r, s \in S$. Then there exist nets $(r_\alpha)$ and $(s_\beta)$ in $S$ such that $\lim_{\alpha} T(r_\alpha) = r$ and $\lim_{\beta} T(s_\beta) = s$.

So, we have

$$rs = \lim_{\alpha} \lim_{\beta} T(r_\alpha \circ s_\beta) = \lim_{\alpha} \lim_{\beta} T(s_\beta \circ r_\alpha) = \lim_{\alpha} \lim_{\beta} T(s_\beta) \cdot T(r_\alpha) = s \cdot r.$$ 

Thus $S$ is commutative.

In the sequel, we investigate some relations between two semigroup $S$ and $S_T$ according to the role of the left multiplier $T$.

**Theorem 2.3.** Let $S$ be a semigroup and $\text{TeMul}_1(S)$. Then

(i) If $T$ is surjective and $S_T$ is an inverse semigroup then $S$ is an inverse semigroup and $T(s^{-1}) = T(s)^{-1}$ for all $s \in S$.

(ii) If $S_T$ is an inverse semigroup and $T$ is injective then $T(S)$ is an inverse subsemigroup of $S$.

(iii) If $T$ is bijective then $S_T$ is an inverse semigroup if and only if $S$ is an inverse semigroup.

**Proof.** i) Suppose that $S_T$ is an inverse semigroup and $T$ is surjective. Define the map $\varphi: S_T \to S$ by $\varphi(s) = T(s)$. Take $r, s \in S$, then

$$\varphi(r \circ s) = T(r \circ s) = T(r)T(s) = \varphi(r)\varphi(s).$$

So, $\varphi$ is an epimorphism from $S_T$ onto $S$, since $T$ is surjective. By theorem 5.1.4[7], $S$ is an inverse semigroup and $T(s^{-1}) = T(s)^{-1}$ for all $s \in S$.

ii) Suppose that $T$ is injective and $S_T$ is an inverse semigroup. Evidently, $T(S)$ is a subsemigroup of $S$. We show that it is an inverse semigroup. Take $s \in T(S)$. There exists $t \in S$ such that $s = T(t)$. Also, there exists a unique element $u \in S$ such that $t = t_0 u_0 t$, since $S_T$ is an inverse semigroup. Therefore, $T(t) = T(t)T(u)T(t)$, or $s = s_0 T(t)T_0 s$.

Of course, $T(u)$ is unique because $u \in S$ is unique and $T$ is injective. Hence $T(S)$ is an inverse subsemigroup of $S$. 


iii) Suppose that \( T \) is bijective and let \( S_T \) be an inverse semigroup. Since \( T \) is injective and surjective, by (i) and (ii), \( S = T(S) \) is an inverse semigroup.

Conversely, suppose that \( S \) is an inverse semigroup. Since \( T \) is bijective, by theorem 2.1(ii), \( T^{-1} \in \text{Mul}_L(S) \). So \( \varphi^{-1} : S \rightarrow S_T \) defined by \( \varphi^{-1}(s) = T^{-1}(s) \) is an epimorphism. Hence by (i) \( S_T \) is an inverse semigroup.

We say that \( T \in \text{Mul}_L(S) \) is an inner left multiplier if it has the form \( T = L_s \) for some \( s \in S \) where \( L_s(t) = st = (teS) \).

If \( T \in \text{Mul}_L(S) \) is inner, then each ideal of \( S \) is permanent under \( T \); that is \( T(I) \subseteq I \) for all ideal \( I \) of \( S \). It is easily to see that if \( S \) has an identity, then each \( T \in \text{Mul}_L(S) \) is inner.

Let \( S \) be a semigroup. Then \( S \) is called semisimple if \( I^2 = I \) for all ideal \( I \) of \( S \) (see [9], page 95 for more details).

**Theorem 2.4.** Let \( S \) be a semigroup with an identity and \( T \in \text{Mul}_L(S) \). If \( S_T \) is semisimple, then \( S \) is so. The converse is true if \( S_T \) is left cancellative and \( T \) is surjective.

**Proof.** Since \( S \) is unital there exists \( \mu \in S \) such that \( T = L_\mu \). Suppose that \( S_T \) is semisimple and \( I \) is an ideal of \( S \). Then

\[
I \circ S = IT(S) \subseteq IS \subseteq I.
\]

Similarly, \( S \circ I \subseteq I \). It follows that \( I \) is an ideal of \( S_T \). By the hypothesis \( (I_T)^2 = I \circ I = I \). Now, take \( r \in I \) then there are \( s, t \in I \) such that

\[
r = s \circ t = sT(t) = s(\mu t) \in I^2.
\]

So we show that \( I^2 = I \) and hence \( S \) is semisimple.

Conversely, assume that \( S_T \) is left cancellative and \( T \in \text{Mul}_L(S) \) is surjective then by theorem 2.1(ii), \( T^{-1} \in \text{Mul}_L(S) \). So, there exists \( b \in S \) such that \( T^{-1} = L_b \). Suppose that \( \bar{S} = S_T^{-1} \). Then we have:

\[
S = S_{TT^{-1}} = (S_T)(T^{-1}) = \bar{S}_{T^{-1}}.
\]

By hypothesis and above the proof, \( \bar{S} = S_{T^{-1}} \) is semisimple.

**Semigroup Algebra** \( \ell^1(S_T) \)

We say that a discrete semigroup \( S \) is amenable if there exists a positive linear functional on \( \ell^\infty(S) \) called a mean such that \( m(1) = 1 \) and \( m(l_sf) = m(f), m(r_sf) = m(f) \) for each \( s \in S \), where \( l_sf(t) = f(st) \) and \( r_sf(t) = f(ts) \) for all \( t \in S \). The definition of amenable group is similar to semigroup case. Refer to [12] for more details.
Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a Banach algebra and let $X$ be a Banach $\mathcal{A}$–bimodule. A derivation from $\mathcal{A}$ to $X$ is a linear map $D: \mathcal{A} \to X$ such that
\[
D(ab) = D(a) \cdot b + a \cdot D(b) \quad (a, b \in \mathcal{A}).
\]
A derivation $D$ is inner if there exists $x \in X$ such that $D(a) = a \cdot x - x \cdot a \quad (a \in \mathcal{A})$.

The Banach algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is amenable if every bounded derivation $D: \mathcal{A} \to X^*$ is inner for all Banach $\mathcal{A}$–bimodule $X$. Where $X^*$ is the dual space of $X$. We say that the Banach algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is weakly amenable if any bounded derivation $D$ from $\mathcal{A}$ to $\mathcal{A}^*$ is inner. For more details see [12], [16].

If $S$ is a commutative semigroup, by theorem 5.8 of [8] $\ell^1(S)$ is called semisimple if and only if for all $x, y \in S$, $x^2 = y^2 = xy$ implies $x = y$.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let $S$ be a commutative semigroup and let $T \in \text{Mul}_l(S)$ be injective. Then $\ell^1(S)$ is semisimple if and only if $\ell^1(S_T)$ is semisimple.

**Proof.** Take $r, s \in S$. Then $r^2 = s^2 = rs$ if and only if $T(r^2) = T(s^2) = T(r)T(s)$ or equivalently $r_0r = s_0s = r_0s$, because $T$ is injective. So, by theorem 5.8 [8], $\ell^1(S)$ is semisimple if and only if $\ell^1(S_T)$ is semisimple.

**Theorem 3.2.** Let $S$ be a discrete semigroup and $T \in \text{Mul}_l(S)$. Then

(i) The left multiplier $T$ has an extension $\tilde{T} \in \text{Mul}_l \left( \ell^1(S) \right)$ with the norm decreasing.

(ii) The left multiplier $T$ is injective if and only if so is $T$.

(iii) If $T$ is injective then $\tilde{T}$ is an isometry and also $\ell^1(S_T)$ and $\left( \ell^1(S) \right)_T$ are isomorphic.

**Proof.** (i) An arbitrary element $f \in \ell^1(S)$ is of the form $f: S \to \mathbb{C}$ such that $f(x) = 0$ except at the most countable subset $A$ of $S$. If $A$ is a finite subset of $S$ then $f = \sum_{k=1}^{n} f(x_k) \delta_{x_k}$ for some fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}$. So in general we have
\[
f = \sum_{x \in S} f(x) \delta_x = \sum_{x \in A} f(x) \delta_x = \sum_{k=1}^{n} f(x_k) \delta_{x_k}.
\]

Now, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $f_n = \sum_{k=1}^{n} f(x_k) \delta_{x_k}$ and define $\tilde{T}: \ell^1(S) \to \ell^1(S)$ by
\[
\tilde{T}(\delta_x) = \delta_{T(x)} \quad (x \in S),
\]
\[
\tilde{T}(f_n) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} f(x_k) \tilde{T}(\delta_{x_k}) = \tilde{f}_n.
\]

For each $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ where $n \geq m$, we have
\[
\|\tilde{T}(f_n) - \tilde{T}(f_m)\|_1 = \|f_n - f_m\|_1 = \|\sum_{k=m}^{n} f(x_k) \tilde{T}(\delta_{x_k})\| = \|\sum_{k=m}^{n} f(x_k) \delta_{T(x_k)}\| \leq \sum_{k=m}^{n} |f(x_k)| = \|f_n - f_m\|_1.
\]
So \( \{ \tilde{T}(f_n) \}_n \) is a Cauchy sequence and it is convergent. Now, we define \( \tilde{T}(f) = \lim_n \tilde{T}_n \). Then the definition is well defined. Hence
\[
\tilde{T}(f) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f(x_k) \tilde{T}(\delta_{x_k}) = \tilde{f} ,
\]
also
\[
\|\tilde{f}\|_1 \leq \sum_{x \in A} |f(x_k)| = \|f\|_1 \quad \text{or} \quad \|\tilde{T}(f)\|_1 \leq \|f\|_1 .
\]
It shows that \( \tilde{T} \) is norm decreasing.

In the following, we extend \( \tilde{T} \) by linearity. Let \( f, g \in \ell^1(S) \). Then there are two at most countable sub set \( A, B \) of \( S \) such that
\[
f = \sum_{x \in A} f(x) \delta_x , \quad g = \sum_{x \in B} g(x) \delta_x .
\]
Suppose that \( D = A \cup B \). So we have \( f + g = \sum_{x \in D} (f(x) + g(x)) \delta_x \).

Then, it follows that
\[
\tilde{T}(f + g) = \tilde{T}(f) + \tilde{T}(g) = \sum_{x \in D} (f(x) + g(x)) \tilde{T}(\delta_x) = \sum_{x \in A} f(x) \tilde{T}(\delta_x) + \sum_{x \in B} g(x) \tilde{T}(\delta_x)
\]

Also, if \( \alpha \in \mathbb{C} \), we have
\[
\tilde{T}(\alpha f) = \alpha \tilde{T}(f) = \sum_{x \in A} \alpha f(x) \tilde{T}(\delta_x) = \alpha \sum_{x \in A} f(s) \tilde{T}(\delta_x) = \alpha \tilde{T}(f).
\]
Therefore, \( \tilde{T} \) is a bounded linear isometry.

Now, we prove that \( \tilde{T} \in \text{Mul}_\ell(\ell^1(S)) \). Take \( x, y \in S \). Then
\[
\tilde{T}(\delta_x * \delta_y) = \tilde{T}(\delta_{xy}) = \delta_{T(xy)} = \delta_{T(x)y} = \delta_{T(x)} * \delta_y = \tilde{T}(\delta_x) * \delta_y .
\]
Let \( y \in S \) be fixed and \( f, g \in \ell^1(S) \). Then
\[
\tilde{T}(f * \delta_y) = \tilde{T}\left( \sum_{x \in A} f(x) \delta_{xy} \right) = \sum_{x \in A} f(x) \tilde{T}(\delta_{xy}) = \left( \sum_{x \in A} \tilde{T}(\delta_x) \right) * \delta_y = \tilde{T}(f) * \delta_y .
\]
In the general case, we have
\[
\tilde{T}(f * g) = \tilde{T}(\sum_{x \in A} f(x) (\sum_{y \in B} g(y)) \delta_{xy}) = \sum_{x \in A} f(x) \sum_{y \in B} g(y) \tilde{T}(\delta_x) * \delta_y = \tilde{T}(f) * g .
\]
This shows that \( \tilde{T} \) is a multiplier on \( \ell^1(S) \).

(ii) Let \( T \) be injective. Take \( x, y \in S \) and suppose that \( \tilde{T}(\delta_x) = \tilde{T}(\delta_y) \). Then \( \delta_{T(x)} = \tilde{T}(\delta_x) = \tilde{T}(\delta_y) = \delta_{T(y)} \).

Therefore, \( T(x) = T(y) \). Since \( T \) is injective, we have \( x = y \). It follows that \( \delta_x = \delta_y \), consequently \( \tilde{T} \) is injective.

Conversely, the same argument shows that the converse holds.

(iii) Let \( T \) be injective and \( f \in \ell^1(S) \). Then there exists at most a countable subset \( A \subseteq S \) such that
\[ f = \sum_{x \in A} f(x) \delta_x \]

Since \( A \) and \( T(A) \) have the same cardinal number, \( \| \tilde{T}(f) \| = \| \sum_{x \in A} f(x) \delta_x \| = \sum_{x \in A} |f(x)| = \| f \|_1 \), so \( T \) is an isometry.

Now, we can define a new multiplication "\( \boxplus \)" on \( \ell^1(S) \) as follow
\[
f \boxplus g = f \ast \tilde{T} \circ g \quad (f, g \in \ell^1(S)) .
\]

By a similar argument in theorem 1.31 \([10]\), \( \ell^1(S) \) with the new product is a Banach algebra that is denoted it by \( \ell^1(S)_{\tilde{T}} \). We define the map \( \Psi: \ell^1(S_T) \to \ell^1(S)_{\tilde{T}} \), by
\[
\Psi(\delta_x) = \delta_x \quad (x \in S).
\]

Take \( x, y \in S \). Then
\[
\Psi(\delta_x \ast \delta_y) = \Psi(\delta_{x \ast y}) = \delta_{x \ast T(y)} = \delta_x \ast \delta_{T(y)}
\]
\[= \delta_x \ast \tilde{T}(\delta_y) = \delta_x \boxplus \delta_y
\]
\[= \Psi(\delta_x) \boxplus \Psi(\delta_y).
\]

So, in general case, we have
\[\Psi(f \ast g) = \Psi(f) \boxplus \Psi(g) \quad (f, g \in \ell^1(S)) .\]

Thus, \( \Psi \) is an isomorphism. Therefore \( \ell^1(S_T) \) and \( \ell^1(S)_{\tilde{T}} \) are isomorphic

**Theorem 3.3.** Let \( S \) be a semigroup and \( T \in \text{Mul}_T(S) \) be bijective. Then \( \ell^1(S) \) is amenable if and only if \( \ell^1(S_T) \) is amenable.

**Proof.** By theorem 3.2, we have \( \ell^1(S_T) \cong \ell^1(S)_{\tilde{T}} \). Suppose that \( \ell^1(S_T) \) is amenable and define \( \varphi: \ell^1(S_T) \to \ell^1(S) \) by \( \varphi(f) = \tilde{T}(f) \). Take \( x, y \in S \). Then
\[
\varphi(\delta_x \boxplus \delta_y) = \tilde{T}(\delta_x \boxplus \delta_y) = \tilde{T}(\delta_{x \ast T(y)}) = \tilde{T}(\delta_x \ast \delta_{T(y)}) = \tilde{T}(\delta_x) \ast \delta_{T(y)}
\]
\[= \tilde{T}(\delta_x) \ast \tilde{T}(\delta_y) = \varphi(\delta_x) \ast \varphi(\delta_y) .
\]

Now, by induction and continuity of \( \tilde{T} \), we have
\[\varphi(f \boxplus g) = \varphi(f) \ast \varphi(g) .\]

If \( T \) is bijective, \( \tilde{T} \) is bijective. Therefore \( \varphi \) is an epimorphism of \( \ell^1(S_T) \) onto \( \ell^1(S) \).

Hence, by proposition 2.3.1 \([16]\) \( \ell^1(S) \) is amenable.

Conversely, suppose that \( \ell^1(S) \) is amenable. Since \( T \) is bijective, \( \tilde{T} \) is bijective. Therefore \( \tilde{T}^{-1} \) exists. Now define \( \theta: \ell^1(S) \to \ell^1(S_T) \) \([ \cong \ell^1(S)_{\tilde{T}}] \) by \( \theta(f) = \tilde{T}^{-1}(f) \).

Take \( x, y \in S \). Then
\[
\theta(\delta_x \ast \delta_y) = \tilde{T}^{-1}(\delta_{xy}) = \tilde{T}^{-1}(\delta_x)\tilde{T}^{-1}(\delta_y) = \tilde{T}^{-1}(\delta_x) \boxplus \tilde{T}^{-1}(\delta_y)
\]
\[= \theta(\delta_x) \boxplus \theta(\delta_y) .
\]
Similarly \( \theta \) is an epimorphism from \( \ell^1(S) \) onto \( \ell^1(S_T) \). By proposition 2.3.1 [16] \( \ell^1(S_T) \) is amenable.

Note that, in general, it is not known when \( \ell^1(S) \) is weakly amenable. For more details see [2].

**Theorem 3.4.** Let \( S \) be a semigroup and \( T \in \text{Mul}(S) \) be bijective . Then, if \( S \) is completely regular then \( \ell^1(S_T) \) is weakly amenable.

**Proof.** It is enough to prove that \( S_T \) is completely regular, then by theorem 3.6 [2], \( \ell^1(S_T) \) can be weakly amenable. Take \( seS \). Then there exists \( reS \) such that \( T(s) = T(s)T(r)T(s) \), \( T(r)T(s) = T(s)T(r) \), since \( T \) is bijective and \( S = T(S) \) is completely regular. So we have \( T(s) = T(s \circ r \circ s) \) and \( T(r \circ s) = T(s \circ r) \). Hence \( s = s \circ r \circ s \) and \( r \circ s = s \circ r \) for some \( reS \), since \( T \) is injective. Therefore \( S_T \) is completely regular.

**Corollary 3.5.** Suppose that \( S \) is a commutative completely regular semigroup and \( T \in \text{Mul}(S) \) is injective. Then \( \ell^1(T(S_T)) \) is weakly amenable.

**Proof.** [2, theorem 3.6 ] \( \ell^1(S) \) is weakly amenable. Define \( \varphi : S \rightarrow \ell^1(S_T) \) by

\[
\varphi(s) = T^{-1}(s) \quad (seS).
\]

We show that \( \varphi \) is a homomorphism . Take \( seS \), then we have

\[
\varphi(rs) = T^{-1}(rs) = T^{-1}(r)T^{-1}(s) = T^{-1}(r \circ T^{-1}(s)).
\]

So \( \varphi \) is a homomorphism. Then by proposition 2.1[7], \( \ell^1(T(S_T)) \) is weakly amenable.

In the case that \( S \) is a group, it is easy to see that the amenability of \( S \) implies the amenability of \( \ell^1(S_T) \). Indeed, when \( S \) is a group, by theorem 2.1, \( S_T \) is a semigroup and one can easily prove that \( S_T \) is also a group. On the other hand, \( \text{Mul}(S) \cong S \) because \( S \) is a unital semigroup, so each \( Te\text{Mul}(S) \) is inner and of the form \( T = L_s \) for some \( seS \). Also \( T^{-1} = L_{a^{-1}} \) exists, since \( S \) is a group. Then the map \( \theta : S_T \rightarrow S \) defined by \( \theta(s) = T(s) \) is an isomorphism; that is \( S \cong S_T \). Thus we have the following result:

**Corollary 3.6.** Let \( S \) be a cancellative regular discrete semigroup. Then \( \ell^1(S) \) is amenable if and only if \( \ell^1(S_T) \) is amenable.

**Proof.** By [9, Exercise 2.6.11] \( S \) is a group. So the assertion holds by [15, theorem 2.1.8]
Examples

In this section we present some examples which either comments on our results or indicate necessary condition in our theorems.

4.1. There are semigroups $S$ and $T \in \text{Mul}_I(S)$ such that the background semigroups $S$ are not commutative but their induced semigroups $S_T$ are commutative.

This example shows that the condition $T(S) = S$, in theorem 2.2, can not be omitted.

Let $S$ be the set $\{a, b, c, d, e\}$ with operation table given by

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>a</th>
<th>b</th>
<th>c</th>
<th>d</th>
<th>e</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>e</td>
<td>e</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Clearly $(S, \cdot)$ is a non-commutative semigroup. Now, put $T = L_a$ where $L_a(x) = ax$ for all $x \in S$. One can get easily the operation table of $S_T$ as follow:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>a</th>
<th>b</th>
<th>c</th>
<th>d</th>
<th>e</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The operation table shows that the induced semigroup $S_T$ is commutative and $T(S) \neq S$. Also the other induced semigroup $S_T$ is commutative for $T = L_d$ analogously.

Now we present some important theorems from [14] that we need in the following examples:

Theorem 4.2. Let $S$ be a semigroup. Suppose that $t^1(S)$ is amenable. Then

(i) $S$ is amenable
(ii) $S$ is regular.
(iii) $E(S)$ is finite.
(iv) $t^1(S)$ has an identity.

Proof. (i) That is lemma 3 in [5].
(ii) and (iii) See theorem 2 in [6].
(iv) That is corollary 10.6 in [4].

**Theorem 4.3.** Let $S$ be a finite semigroup. Then the following statements are equivalent:

(i) $\ell^1(S)$ is amenable.
(ii) $S$ is regular and $\ell^1(S)$ is nuital.

**Proof.** Refer to [3].

4.4. There are semigroups $S$ and $T \in \text{Mul}(S)$ such that $S$ and $\ell^1(S)$ are amenable but $S_T$ is not regular and also, $\ell^1(S_T)$ is not amenable.

This example shows that two semigroup algebras $\ell^1(S)$ and $\ell^1(S_T)$ can be different in some properties. Also, it notifies that the bijectivity of $T$ in the theorem 3.3 is essential. Put $S = \{x_0, x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n\}$ with the operation $x_i x_j = x_{\text{Max}(i,j)}$ \((0 \leq i, j \leq n, n \geq 2)\).

Then $S$ is a semigroup. Since

$$\text{Max}\{i, \text{Max}\{j, k\}\} = \text{Max}\{\text{Max}\{i, j\}, k\} = \text{Max}\{i, j, k\}.$$

We denote it by $S_v$. This semigroup is commutative. So by (0.18) in [12], it is amenable. $S_v$ is a unital semigroup and has a zero; indeed, $e_s = x_0$ and $a_s = x_n$. Also, it is a regular semigroup and $\text{Mul}(S_v) \cong S_v$ because $S_v$ has an identity.

Evidently, $S_v$ is regular since each $s \in S_v$ is idempotent. The semigroup algebra $\ell^1(S_v)$ is a unital algebra because $S_v$ has an identity. So by theorem 4.3 (ii) $\ell^1(S_v)$ is amenable.

Now, take $T = L_{x_k}$ for a fixed $x_k \in S$ where $k \geq 1$. By theorem 2.2, $(S_v)_T$ is commutative so is amenable. We show that $T$ is neither injective and nor surjective.

Take $x_i \in S_v$, then $Tx_i = x_k x_i = x_{\text{max}(k,i)}$. So

$$T(S_v) = \{x_k, x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_n\} \neq S_v.$$

Hence, $T$ is not surjective.

Again, take distinct elements $x_i, x_j$ in $S_v$ for some $i, j < k$ such that $T(x_i) = T(x_j)$. Then we have $x_{\text{max}(k,i)} = x_{\text{max}(k,j)}$ but $x_i \neq x_j$. So $T$ is not injective.

We prove that $(S_v)_T$ is not regular. If $(S_v)_T$ is regular, then for $x_{k-1} \in S_v$ there exists an element $x_j \in S_v$ such that

$$x_{k-1} = x_{k-1} o x_j o x_{k-1} = x_{\text{Max}(k,j)}.$$
That implies that \( \max\{k, j\} = k - 1 \); which is impossible. Consequently, by theorem 4.2 (ii) or 4.3 (ii), \( l^1((S_v)_T) \) is not amenable.

Also, the inequality \( S_v \circ S_v = \{x_k, x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_n\} \neq S_v \) shows that \( l^1((S_v)_T) \) is not weakly amenable. In the next example we show that in the theorem 3.2 (iii) the condition "injectivity of" can not be omitted.

4.5 There are a semigroup \( S \) and \( T \in Mul_1(S) \) such that \( T \in Mul_1(S) \) is not injective and the corresponding \( \tilde{T} \in Mul_1\left(l^1(S_T)\right) \) is not an isometry.

Suppose that \( S_v \) is a semigroup as in example 4.4 and \( T = L_{x_k} \) for some fixed \( 1 < k < n \). If \( f \in l^1(S_v) \) then \( f = \sum_{i=0}^{n} f(x_i) \delta_{x_i} \) and also \( \tilde{T}(f) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} f(x_i) \delta_{T(x_i)} \). But 
\[
T(x_i) = \begin{cases} x_i & k < i \leq n \\ x_k & 0 \leq i \leq k \end{cases},
\]
so
\[
\tilde{T}(f) = \left( \sum_{i=0}^{k} f(x_i) \right) \delta_{x_k} + \sum_{i=k+1}^{n} f(x_i) \delta_{T(x_i)}.
\]

Hence
\[
\| \tilde{T}(f) \| = \left| \sum_{i=0}^{k} f(x_i) \right| + \sum_{i=k+1}^{n} |f(x_i)| \leq \sum_{i=0}^{k} |f(x_i)| + \sum_{i=k+1}^{n} |f(x_i)| = \|f\|_1.
\]

It shows that \( \tilde{T} \) is not an isometry.

4.6. There are semigroups \( S \) and \( T \in Mul_1(S) \) such that \( l^1(S) \) is semisimple. But \( l^1(S_T) \) is not semisimple. This example remind that, in theorem 3.1 the multiplier \( T \) must be injective.

Let \( S \) be a set \( \{x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n\} \) where \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( n \geq 3 \) is fixed, by operation given by \( xy = x_{\min\{i, j\}} \), \( S \) is a commutative semigroup. Since
\[
\min\{i, \min\{j, k\}\} = \min\{\min\{i, j\}, k\} = \min\{i, j, k\} \quad (i, j, k \in \mathbb{N}).
\]

We denote it briefly by \( S^\wedge \). For each \( x, y \in S \) the equality \( x^2 = y^2 = xy \) implies \( x = y \). So by Theorem 5.8 [8] \( l^1(S^\wedge) \) is semisimple.

Now, let \( T = L_{x_k} \) for a fixed \( 1 \leq k < n - 1 \). It is easy to see that \( T(x_k) = T(x_n) \) but \( x_k \neq x_n \). So the multiplier \( T \) is not injective.

We show that neither \( S^\wedge \) nor \( l^1(S^\wedge)_T \) is semisimple.

Each ideal of \( S \) is of the form
\[
I_m = \{x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_m\} \quad (m \leq n).
\]

We claim that \( S_T \) is not semisimple. Since for each \( m \in \mathbb{N} \) we have
On the other hand, for each $x_i, x_j \in \mathcal{S}$ where $i \neq j$ and $i, j > k$, we have $x_i \circ x_i = x_j \circ x_j = x_i \circ x_j = x_k$, while $x_i \neq x_j$. Thus, Theorem 5.8 [8] shows that $\ell^1(S_n)_T$ is not semisimple.
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