Ramin Javadi<sup>\*</sup>, Meysam Miralaei;

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan, Iran

Received: 02/20/219 Accepted: 12/04/2019

# **Extended Abstract**

Paper pages (485-494)

## Introduction

The size-Ramsey number of a graph F denoted by  $\hat{r}(F,r)$  is the smallest integer *m* such that there is a graph *G* with *m* edges with this property that for any coloring of the edges of *G* with *r* colors, *G* contains a monochromatic copy of *F*. The investigation of the size-Ramsey numbers of graphs was initiated by Erdős, Faudree, Rousseau and Schelp in 1978. Since then, Size-Ramsey numbers have been studied with particular focus on the case of trees and bounded degree graphs.

Addressing a question posed by Erdős, Beck [2] proved that the size-Ramsey number of the path  $P_n$  is linear in n by means of a probabilistic construction. In fact, Beck's proof implies that  $\hat{r}(P_n, 2) \leq 900n$  and this upper bound was improved several times. Currently, the best known upper bound is due to Dudek and Prałat [4] which proved that  $\hat{r}(P_n, 2) \leq 74n$ . On the other hand, the first nontrivial lower bound for  $\hat{r}(P_n, 2)$  was provided by Beck and his result was subsequently improved by Dudek and Prałat [3] who showed that  $\hat{r}(P_n, 2) \geq 5n/2 - O(1)$ . The strongest known lower bound,  $\hat{r}(P_n, 2) \geq (3.75 - O(1))n$ , was proved recently by Bal and DeBiasio [1].

Let us now move to the multicolor version of the problem. Dudek and Prałat [3] proved that

$$\frac{(r+3)r}{4}n - O(r^2) < \hat{r}(P_n, r) < 33 r 4^r n.$$

It follows that  $\hat{r}(P_n, r)$  is linear in terms of *n* for any fixed value of *r*, however the two bounds are quite far apart from being sharp in terms of their dependence on r. Subsequently, Krivelevich [6] and separately Dudek and Prałat [5] proved that if *n* is sufficiently large, then  $\hat{r}(P_n, r) \leq 600 r^2(\ln r) n$ . As a result we get that  $\hat{r}(P_n, r) = O((\ln r)r^2 n)$ . In this paper, we improve the latter upper bound and prove that  $\hat{r}(P_n, r) \le (18 + o_r(1))r^2(\ln r)n$ .

#### Material and methods

Our method for establishing the upper bound is essentially a probabilistic construction. In this scheme, first we prove a bipartite version of Posa's Lemma which seeks for a long path in a bipartite graph. In fact, we obtain a sufficient condition for the existence of a long path in a bipartite graph. Then, using the probabilistic method and Chernoff's inequality, we prove that there exists a random sparse bipartite graph G such that every dense spanning subgraph of G contains a long path.

### **Results and discussion**

We determine an upper bound for the multicolor size-Ramsey number of paths. This upper bound shows that  $\hat{r}(P_n, r)$  grows linearly with n and its dependency on the number of colors r is of order  $r^2(\ln r)$ . More precisely, we prove that  $\hat{r}(P_n, r) \leq 18(1 + o_r(1))r^2(\ln r)n$ . This upper bound is nearly optimal, since it is well known that  $\hat{r}(P_n, r) = \Omega(r^2 n)$ .

### Conclusion

The following conclusions were drawn from this research.

- Let k > 0 be an integer and G(V<sub>0</sub> ∪ V<sub>1</sub>, E) be a bipartite graph with this property that for every subset S ⊆ V<sub>i</sub>, i ∈ {0, 1}, with |S| ≤ k we have |Γ(S)| ≥ 2|S|. Then, G contains a path P<sub>4k</sub>.
- Let  $\gamma$ , f, d and  $\varepsilon$  be positive numbers with  $d > \frac{1}{\gamma} \ge 1$  and  $f > \frac{18(\ln d+2)}{((1-\varepsilon)\gamma d-1)^2}$ . For sufficiently large n, there exists a bipartite graph G with nd vertices in each part, such that  $e(G) \le (1+\varepsilon)fd^2n$  and every spanning subgraph H of G with  $e(H) \ge \gamma e(G)$  contains a path  $P_n$ .
- For an integer  $r \ge 2$  and sufficiently large *n*, we have

$$\hat{r}(P_n, r) \le 18(1 + o_r(1))r^2(\ln r)n.$$

Keywords: Random graphs; Ramsey numbers; Size-Ramsey numbers; Paths.

\*Corresponding author: rjavadi@cc.iut.ac.ir